

## CONTRIBUTION OF NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS TO COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT: MECHANISMS AND CONTEXTUAL FACTORS

Vagif MAMMADOV 

Azerbaijan University of Tourism and Management, Baku, Azerbaijan

\*Yazışılan müəllif: [vagifmammadov23@gmail.com](mailto:vagifmammadov23@gmail.com)

### NƏŞR TARİXİ:

*Qəbul edilmə tarixi:*  
03.03.2026

*Nəşr edilmə tarixi:*  
17.03.2026

### KEYWORDS:

participatory  
governance,  
governance  
environment, social  
capital formation,  
institutional  
context, civil  
society  
effectiveness

### ABSTRACT

Non-Governmental Organizations play an increasingly important role in advancing sustainable community development by addressing socio-economic inequalities, strengthening local capacities, and complementing state-led interventions. This article examines the strategies, challenges, and policy implications of NGO-led community development through a narrative analytical synthesis of peer-reviewed literature spanning diverse socio-economic and institutional contexts. The study integrates existing theoretical and empirical scholarship to identify the core mechanisms through which NGOs influence community development outcomes.

The article focuses on participatory engagement, capacity-building processes, social capital formation, and governance conditions as interrelated mechanisms shaping NGO effectiveness. The findings highlight that NGO interventions are most effective when participatory strategies are combined with sustained capacity development and embedded within supportive institutional and policy environments. At the same time, the study underscores that NGO effectiveness is context-dependent, varying systematically across governance settings and socio-economic conditions. By advancing a multidimensional and context-sensitive framework, the article contributes to the literature by clarifying when and how NGO-led interventions support sustainable community development and by offering policy-relevant insights for governments, donors, and civil society organizations.

## INTRODUCTION

Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs) have long been recognized as key actors in advancing sustainable community development, particularly in contexts where state-led interventions are constrained by limited institutional capacity, fragmented governance arrangements, or weak responsiveness to local needs (Ulleberg, 2009). While earlier development literature primarily framed NGOs as gap-filling service providers, more recent scholarship increasingly conceptualizes them as governance intermediaries that facilitate participation, mobilize social capital, and mediate interactions between local communities and formal institutions (Fioramonti & Kononykhina, 2015). Through interventions spanning education, health, livelihoods, environmental protection, and social inclusion, NGOs draw upon locally embedded knowledge, trust-based social networks, and organizational capabilities to address complex and context-specific development challenges.

This reconceptualization of NGO roles has gained prominence alongside global development agendas that emphasize inclusivity, participation, and sustainability. Within this evolving paradigm, NGOs are frequently positioned as institutional actors capable of operationalizing participatory and capacity-building approaches that strengthen community agency and enhance collective problem-solving. Empirical evidence suggests that development initiatives grounded in participatory processes and local capacity enhancement are more likely to generate durable outcomes, as they align external interventions with community priorities, norms, and capabilities (Nikkah & Redzuan, 2010). In this sense, participation functions not merely as a normative principle, but as a practical mechanism through which development processes become socially embedded and sustainable over time.

Beyond participation and capacity building, recent studies emphasize the importance of social capital as a key mediating mechanism linking NGO interventions to long-term development outcomes. By fostering organizational learning, innovation, and shared missions, NGOs contribute to the formation of trust, norms of reciprocity, and networks of cooperation within and across communities. These relational resources enhance collective action, institutional trust, and resilience,

enabling communities to respond more effectively to socio-economic and environmental change (Lim, Min, & Berlan, 2023). Consequently, the contribution of NGOs extends beyond project-level outputs toward more systemic forms of social and institutional transformation.

Despite the growing recognition of these contributions, existing research on NGO-led community development remains fragmented. A substantial portion of the literature is confined to sector-specific interventions or single-country case studies, offering limited insight into how NGO strategies are designed, adapted, and sustained across diverse socio-economic and institutional contexts (Moreno, Noguchi, & Harder, 2017). Moreover, although the developmental potential of NGOs is widely acknowledged, comparatively less systematic attention has been devoted to the structural and institutional constraints—such as funding volatility, restrictive regulatory environments, and political interference—that condition their effectiveness.

Recent scholarship further highlights that NGO effectiveness cannot be adequately assessed in isolation from the broader governance and policy environments in which these organizations operate. Enabling institutional frameworks, multi-stakeholder collaboration, and formalized participation mechanisms play a decisive role in shaping whether NGO interventions translate into sustainable and scalable development outcomes (Zhao & Yu, 2024). Where such conditions are absent, NGO initiatives risk remaining fragmented, short-term oriented, or overly dependent on external resources, thereby limiting their contribution to long-term community resilience and inclusive development.

Against this backdrop, this study moves beyond summarizing existing literature by integrating participatory development, capacity-building, social capital, and governance perspectives within a single analytical framework. Rather than treating NGO effectiveness as universal, the article advances a conditional understanding that highlights how the outcomes of NGO interventions are shaped by the interaction between organizational strategies and national and institutional contexts. By synthesizing evidence across diverse settings, the study contributes a context-sensitive explanation of when, how, and under what conditions NGO-led community development generates sustainable outcomes, thereby extending existing scholarship beyond sector-specific or country-bound analyses.

### **Conceptual and Theoretical Framework of NGO-Led Community Development**

This study advances a mechanism-based and context-conditional framework to explain how NGO-led interventions contribute to sustainable community development. Rather than conceptualizing NGO effectiveness as a linear or universal outcome of project implementation, the framework emphasizes the interaction between organizational strategies, intermediate social mechanisms, and governance environments. In doing so, it positions NGOs as intermediary institutions operating between local communities and broader political, administrative, and policy systems.

#### **NGOs as Intermediary Actors: Strategic Inputs and Social Mechanisms**

At the core of the framework is the conceptualization of NGOs as intermediary actors that facilitate development processes by mobilizing participation, strengthening local capacities, and mediating relationships between communities and formal institutions. NGOs' strategic inputs primarily consist of participatory engagement and capacity-building practices, which are treated not as discrete activities but as mutually reinforcing processes embedded in local social structures.

Drawing on participatory development theory, participation is conceptualized as a functional mechanism through which local agency, legitimacy, and ownership are generated, enabling communities to actively co-design and implement development initiatives (Nikkah & Redzuan, 2010). Capacity-building theory complements this perspective by highlighting how NGOs enhance human, organizational, and institutional competencies—including skills, leadership, organizational routines, and collective problem-solving capacities—that allow communities to sustain development gains beyond individual project cycles.

The framework further identifies social capital formation as the central intermediate mechanism translating NGO strategies into development outcomes. Through repeated interaction, shared learning, and collaborative problem-solving, participatory and capacity-building interventions

contribute to the development of trust, shared norms, and networks of cooperation within and across communities. Organizational learning and innovation within NGOs reinforce this process by aligning internal missions with community needs and adapting strategies over time. In this sense, NGOs contribute not only to immediate service delivery but also to the strengthening of the relational infrastructure necessary for collective action and long-term community resilience.

### **Governance Environment and Contextual Conditioning of Development Outcomes**

A defining feature of the proposed framework is its explicit emphasis on contextual conditioning. NGO strategies and social capital mechanisms do not operate in institutional vacuums; rather, their effectiveness is shaped by governance environments, regulatory frameworks, and broader socio-political conditions. Enabling institutional contexts—characterized by legal autonomy for civil society, predictable funding arrangements, and institutionalized participation—amplify the capacity of NGOs to consolidate participatory gains and translate social capital into durable development outcomes (Fioramonti & Kononykhina, 2015).

Conversely, restrictive regulatory regimes, funding volatility, and political interference constrain NGOs' ability to sustain participatory processes and institutionalize development gains, often limiting impact to short-term or localized effects. In such contexts, NGOs may be compelled to prioritize compliance-oriented activities over transformative engagement, weakening the long-term effectiveness of their interventions. Accordingly, governance conditions function as moderating factors that shape the strength, direction, and durability of the relationship between NGO strategies, social capital formation, and community development outcomes.

Taken together, the framework proposes an integrated analytical logic in which NGO strategies (participation and capacity building) generate social capital as an intermediate mechanism, while governance environments condition the extent to which these mechanisms result in sustainable community development. This model provides the conceptual foundation for the subsequent analysis of NGO strategies (Section 3), challenges and limitations (Section 4), and policy implications (Section 5).

### **Strategies and Mechanisms of NGO Interventions in Community Development**

NGOs employ a diverse and interrelated set of strategies to foster sustainable community development, with participatory engagement and capacity building constituting the central operational pillars of their interventions. Participatory strategies emphasize the active involvement of community members in decision-making processes, project governance, and implementation structures. Rather than positioning communities as passive beneficiaries, such approaches seek to reposition local actors as co-producers of development outcomes. This shift is critical for enhancing local ownership, improving contextual relevance, and reducing long-term dependency on external resources. Empirical evidence indicates that participatory approaches are particularly effective when they are grounded in existing social relations, cultural norms, and informal institutions, thereby embedding development initiatives within local realities and reinforcing their legitimacy (Moreno, Noguchi, & Harder, 2017).

Capacity-building mechanisms complement participatory engagement by strengthening the human, organizational, and institutional capabilities required for communities to sustain development gains over time. NGOs play a central role in delivering training programs, facilitating knowledge exchange, and supporting the development of local leadership and organizational structures. These interventions enhance not only technical skills but also collective problem-solving capacity and institutional resilience, enabling communities to adapt to evolving socio-economic and environmental conditions. In rural and agricultural contexts, NGO-led capacity-building initiatives have been shown to improve productivity, resource management practices, and livelihood resilience among smallholder farmers, particularly when combined with participatory governance arrangements.

Beyond participation and capacity building, NGOs increasingly function as catalysts for social innovation and organizational learning within community development processes. By fostering experimentation, encouraging cross-sectoral collaboration, and aligning diverse stakeholders around

shared missions, NGOs facilitate adaptive and context-sensitive solutions to complex development challenges. Organizational learning and innovation within NGOs serve as critical transmission mechanisms through which participatory inputs and capacity-building efforts are transformed into sustained social capital creation (Chimberengwa & Naidoo, 2020). These dynamics enable communities to develop trust-based networks, shared norms, and collaborative practices that extend beyond individual projects.

Importantly, the accumulation of social capital generated through NGO interventions enhances community resilience by strengthening the ability of local actors to collectively respond to socio-economic shocks and environmental change. Recent research highlights that community resilience is not solely a function of material resources, but is also deeply rooted in relational and institutional capacities cultivated through participatory and innovative practices. In this regard, NGOs contribute to community development not only by addressing immediate needs, but also by reinforcing the social and institutional foundations necessary for long-term sustainability.

Taken together, these strategies and mechanisms illustrate that effective NGO-led community development emerges from the interaction between participation, capacity building, and social innovation. Rather than operating as discrete interventions, these elements form an integrated process through which NGOs facilitate locally grounded, adaptive, and resilient development trajectories across diverse socio-economic and cultural contexts.

### **Challenges and Limitations of NGO-Driven Community Development**

Despite their strategic contributions to sustainable community development, NGO-led interventions are frequently constrained by a range of structural, institutional, and socio-cultural challenges that limit both their effectiveness and long-term sustainability. One of the most persistent constraints is funding instability, often reinforced by short-term project cycles and donor-driven priorities that emphasize measurable outputs over long-term processes. Such funding structures undermine continuity, learning, and the consolidation of locally embedded capacities, limiting NGOs' ability to scale successful initiatives or invest in sustained capacity building (Abiddin, Ibrahim, & Abdul Aziz, 2022).

Institutional and regulatory barriers further complicate the operational environment of NGOs. Restrictive legal frameworks, extensive bureaucratic oversight, and political interference can significantly reduce organizational autonomy and distort development priorities away from community needs. In contexts characterized by shrinking civic space, NGOs may face constraints on advocacy, experimentation, and partnership formation, which in turn limits their capacity to generate durable development outcomes. Empirical evidence also indicates that in more restrictive governance settings, NGOs tend to adjust their strategies toward compliance-oriented activities, often at the expense of participatory and transformative approaches (Zhao & Yu, 2024).

Beyond financial and regulatory constraints, socio-cultural dynamics constitute an additional layer of limitation. Power asymmetries within communities, entrenched gender norms, and varying levels of trust toward external organizations can inhibit meaningful participation and reduce the inclusiveness of NGO-led interventions. Where community engagement is shaped by elite capture or social hierarchies, participatory processes risk becoming symbolic rather than transformative, thereby weakening local ownership and long-term sustainability (Nikkah & Redzuan, 2010).

Taken together, these challenges underscore the contingent nature of NGO effectiveness. Rather than functioning as autonomous agents of change, NGOs operate within complex institutional ecosystems in which outcomes are shaped by the interaction between internal organizational capacities and external governance conditions. The broader policy environment, regulatory regimes, and societal structures thus play a critical mediating role in determining whether NGO interventions translate into durable community development outcomes.

These structural constraints do not operate uniformly across contexts, making it necessary to examine how national and institutional environments condition NGO effectiveness. Existing evidence suggests that participatory and capacity-building strategies yield different outcomes depending on socio-economic structures, governance capacity, and the strength of public institutions.

In low-income agrarian contexts characterized by limited state presence and high livelihood vulnerability—such as parts of Bangladesh and rural Zimbabwe—NGOs often function as primary development intermediaries. In such settings, participatory approaches play a compensatory role by addressing gaps in public service provision and fostering basic community resilience (Nikkah & Redzuan, 2010).

In contrast, in middle-income or transition contexts with relatively stronger institutional frameworks—such as India or Eastern European countries—NGO interventions tend to be more effective when aligned with existing governance structures and policy frameworks. Here, NGOs increasingly operate as complementary actors that facilitate coordination, innovation, and social capital formation rather than substituting for the state [1, 9, 8]. These contrasts indicate that the core mechanisms through which NGOs contribute to community development—participation, capacity building, and social capital formation—are conditionally effective, with outcomes shaped by the interaction between local institutional capacity and broader policy environments (Anheier, Lang, & Toepler, 2019).

### **Policy Implications and Future Directions for Sustainable Community Development**

The effectiveness and sustainability of NGO-led community development are not determined solely by organizational capacity or project design, but by the interaction between NGOs and the policy, funding, and governance environments in which they operate. Accordingly, policy implications differ across key stakeholder groups, each of which shapes distinct enabling or constraining conditions for NGO interventions.

#### **Implications for Governments and Public Authorities**

For governments, the findings underscore the importance of creating enabling regulatory and institutional environments that allow NGOs to operate as long-term development partners rather than short-term service contractors. In contexts where regulatory frameworks ensure organizational autonomy, legal clarity, and procedural transparency, NGOs are better positioned to invest in participatory processes, local capacity building, and institutional learning. Conversely, restrictive legal regimes and excessive bureaucratic oversight tend to shift NGO behavior toward compliance-driven, short-term project implementation, undermining sustainability.

Governments can enhance NGO contributions to community development by institutionalizing participatory governance mechanisms that formally integrate NGOs and community representatives into planning, implementation, and evaluation processes. Such arrangements are particularly effective in settings with moderate institutional capacity, where NGOs can complement state action by translating community-level knowledge into policy-relevant inputs. In practice, this requires clear role differentiation, co-decision platforms at local and sectoral levels, and predictable funding mechanisms that support multi-year programming rather than fragmented project cycles.

#### **Implications for Donors and International Development Agencies**

For donors, the analysis suggests a need to recalibrate funding models to better align with the process-oriented nature of sustainable community development. Short-term, output-focused funding instruments limit NGOs' ability to support participatory learning, capacity consolidation, and institutional embeddedness at the community level. Donors operating in low-capacity or fragile governance contexts should therefore prioritize longer funding horizons, adaptive programming, and learning-oriented evaluation frameworks.

In addition, donors play a critical role in shaping power relations within multi-stakeholder partnerships. Funding schemes that recognize NGOs as strategic partners—rather than mere implementers—can enhance their mediating role between communities and public institutions. Evidence from the literature on multi-stakeholder partnerships indicates that donor-supported collaboration is most effective when accountability mechanisms extend beyond upward reporting to include downward accountability toward communities. Practically, this implies integrating

community feedback systems and participatory monitoring requirements into donor-funded programs.

### **Implications for NGOs and Civil Society Organizations**

For NGOs themselves, the findings highlight the importance of investing in organizational learning, adaptive capacity, and internal governance systems. NGOs operating across diverse socio-economic and institutional contexts must move beyond standardized project models toward flexible strategies that are responsive to local conditions. Strengthening internal learning processes enables NGOs to adapt participatory and capacity-building strategies as contexts evolve.

Moreover, NGOs increasingly need to develop digital and data-related capabilities to enhance transparency, coordination, and evidence-based decision-making. Digital tools can support monitoring, stakeholder engagement, and accountability, particularly in multi-actor governance environments. At the community level, NGOs can reinforce sustainability by institutionalizing feedback and monitoring mechanisms that empower beneficiaries to actively shape program trajectories, thereby strengthening local ownership and social capital.

### **Conclusion**

This study contributes to the literature on community development by advancing an integrative perspective on NGO-led interventions that accounts for both strategic practices and systemic constraints. Drawing on participatory development, capacity-building, social capital, and governance-oriented theories, the analysis underscores that NGOs play a multifaceted role as facilitators of participation, builders of local capacity, and intermediaries within development governance systems.

The findings further underscore that NGO effectiveness is not universal but context-dependent, varying systematically across institutional, socio-economic, and governance environments. At the same time, the effectiveness of NGO-driven community development remains contingent upon structural conditions, including funding stability, institutional support, and enabling policy environments. Without addressing these constraints, even well-designed interventions risk limited sustainability and impact. By aligning participatory strategies with supportive governance frameworks and multi-stakeholder collaboration, NGOs can move beyond project-based engagement toward more durable and transformative contributions to sustainable community development.

## **ƏDƏBİYYAT**

1. Ulleberg, I. (2009). The role and impact of NGOs in capacity development: From replacing the state to reinvigorating education. UNESCO International Institute for Educational Planning. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000188440>
2. Abiddin, N. Z., Ibrahim, I., & Abdul Aziz, S. A. (2022). Non-governmental organisations (NGOs) and their part towards sustainable community development. *Sustainability*, 14, 4386. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14084386>
3. Fioramonti, L., & Kononykhina, O. (2015). Measuring the enabling environment of civil society: A global capability index. *Voluntas: International Journal of Voluntary and Nonprofit Organizations*, 26(2), 466–487. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/43654691>
4. Anheier, H. K., Lang, M., & Toepler, S. (2019). Civil society in times of change: Shrinking, changing and expanding spaces and the need for new regulatory approaches. *Economics: The Open-Access, Open-Assessment E-Journal*, 13, 1–27. <https://doi.org/10.5018/economics-ejournal.ja.2019-8>
5. Nikkah, H. A., & Redzuan, M. (2010). The role of NGOs in promoting empowerment for sustainable community development. *Journal of Human Ecology*, 30, 85–92. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09709274.2010.11906276>

6. Moreno, J. M., Noguchi, L. M., & Harder, M. K. (2017). Understanding the process of community capacity-building: A case study of two programs in Yunnan Province, China. *World Development*, 97, 122–137.
7. Lim, S., Min, B. H., & Berlan, D. G. (2023). The nonprofit role in building community social capital: A moderated mediation model of organizational learning, innovation, and shared mission for social capital creation. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 53(1), 210–235. <https://doi.org/10.1177/08997640221146965>
8. Brozmanová Gregorová, A., & Murray Svidroňová, M. (2025). Role of non-governmental organizations in building social capital: The case of Slovakia. In *Economics 2025* (pp. 48–68). Edward Elgar Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781035315826.00010>
9. Živojinović, I., Ludvig, A., & Hogl, K. (2019). Social innovation to sustain rural communities: Overcoming institutional challenges in Serbia. *Sustainability*, 11, 7248. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11247248>
10. Avea, A. D., Zhu, J., Tian, X., Baležentis, T., Li, T., Rickaille, M., & Funsani, W. (2016). Do NGOs and development agencies contribute to sustainability of smallholder soybean farmers in Northern Ghana—A stochastic production frontier approach. *Sustainability*, 8, 465. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su8050465>
11. Arapovics, M. (2025). Sustainable community services, community working methods and practices. *Societies*, 15, 282. <https://doi.org/10.3390/soc15100282>
12. Panampitiya, W. M. G. N. (2018). Role of non-governmental organizations in the community development process: With special reference to the empowerment of livelihood activities in rural areas in Sri Lanka. *International Journal of Social Science and Humanities Research*, 6(3), 819–824.
13. Zhao, B., & Yu, M. (2024). Unraveling the complexity: Influential factors and trajectories of NGO advancement in China—A fsQCA analysis of 46 cases. *Heliyon*, 10(23), e40483. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e40483>
14. Higham, I., Bäckstrand, K., Fritzsche, F., & Koliev, F. (2024). Multistakeholder partnerships for sustainable development: Promises and pitfalls. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*, 49, 475–500.
15. Wanner, M. S. T., & Miljand, M. (2025). Unlocking the transformative potential of multi-stakeholder partnerships for sustainable development: Assessing perceived effectiveness and contributions to systemic change. *World Development*, 191, 107007. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2025.107007>
16. Abdillah, A. F. (2025). The role of NGOs, media, and customers in driving alignment and accountability in CSR and ESG reporting. *Proceedings*, 131, 62. <https://doi.org/10.3390/proceedings2025131062>
17. Syamsiyah, N., Sadeli, A. H., Saidah, Z., Noor, T. I., & Widiyanesti, S. (2025). Community participation in the development of sustainable, environmentally conscious villages in the Cirasea Sub-Watershed, Indonesia. *Sustainability*, 17, 4871. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su17114871>
18. Phillip, E., Walsh, A., Jewitt, S., Conroy, R. M., & Stanistreet, D. (2025). Impact of community-based participatory research on capacity building: Findings from a household and ambient air project. *Sustainable Futures*, 10, 101139. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sftr.2025.101139>
19. Chimberengwa, P. T., & Naidoo, M. (2020). Using community-based participatory research in improving the management of hypertension in communities: A scoping review. *South African Family Practice*, 62(1), Article 5039. <https://doi.org/10.4102/safp.v62i1.5039>
20. Akonwi Nebasifu, A., & Majory Atong, N. (2019). Rethinking institutional knowledge for community participation in co-management. *Sustainability*, 11, 5788. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11205788>

## XÜLASƏ

### QEYRI-HÖKUMƏT TƏŞKILATLARININ İCMA İNKİŞAFINA TÖHFƏSİ: MEXANİZMLƏR VƏ KONTEKSTUAL AMİLLƏR

Məmmədov Vaqif

QHT-lərin sosial-iqtisadi bərabərsizliklərin azaldılması, yerli potensialın gücləndirilməsi və dövlət tərəfindən həyata keçirilən təşəbbüslərin tamamlanması vasitəsilə dayanıqlı icma inkişafının təşviqində getdikcə daha mühüm rol oynayır. Bu məqalə müxtəlif sosial-iqtisadi və institusional kontekstləri əhatə edən ədəbiyyatların sintezinə əsaslanaraq QHT-lərin icma inkişafı sahəsində tətbiq etdikləri strategiyalar, çağırışlar və siyasətlər üzrə nəticələri təhlil edir. Araşdırma mövcud nəzəri və empirik ədəbiyyatı inteqrasiya etməklə QHT-lərin icma inkişafı nəticələrinə təsir göstərdiyi əsas mexanizmləri müəyyənləşdirir.

Məqalədə QHT-lərin effektivliyini formalaşdıran qarşılıqlı əlaqəli mexanizmlər kimi iştirakçılığa əsaslanan cəlbətmə, potensialın inkişaf etdirilməsi prosesləri, sosial kapitalın formalaşması və idarəetmə şəraiti ön plana çəkilir. Nəticələr göstərir ki, QHT-lərin fəaliyyəti iştirakçı strategiyaların davamlı potensial inkişafı ilə uzlaşdırıldığı və əlverişli institusional və siyasət mühitinə inteqrasiya olunduğu hallarda daha effektiv nəticələr verir. Eyni zamanda, araşdırma QHT-lərin effektivliyinin kontekst-asılı xarakter daşdığını və idarəetmə rejimləri ilə sosial-iqtisadi şərtlərdən asılı olaraq sistemli şəkildə dəyişdiyini vurğulayır. Çoxölçülü və kontekst-həssas analitik çərçivə irəli sürməklə məqalə, QHT-lərin təşəbbüslərinin dayanıqlı icma inkişafını hansı şərtlər altında və hansı mexanizmlər vasitəsilə dəstəklədiyini aydınlaşdırır, həmçinin hökumətlər, donorlar və vətəndaş cəmiyyəti təşkilatları üçün siyasət baxımından əhəmiyyətli nəticələr təqdim edir.

**Açar sözlər:** *iştirakçı idarəetmə, idarəetmə mühiti, sosial kapitalın formalaşması, institusional kontekst, vətəndaş cəmiyyətinin effektivliyi*

## АННОТАЦИЯ

### ВКЛАД НЕПРАВИТЕЛЬСТВЕННЫХ ОРГАНИЗАЦИЙ В РАЗВИТИЕ СООБЩЕСТВ: МЕХАНИЗМЫ И КОНТЕКСТУАЛЬНЫЕ ФАКТОРЫ

Мамедов Вагиф

НПО играют всё более значимую роль в продвижении устойчивого развития сообществ посредством сокращения социально-экономических неравенств, укрепления местного потенциала и дополнения инициатив, реализуемых государством. В данной статье анализируются стратегии, вызовы и политические импликации развития сообществ под руководством НПО на основе нарративно-аналитического синтеза рецензируемой научной литературы, охватывающей различные социально-экономические и институциональные контексты. Исследование интегрирует существующие теоретические и эмпирические работы с целью выявления ключевых механизмов, посредством которых НПО влияют на результаты развития сообществ.

В статье особое внимание уделяется партисипативному участию, процессам наращивания потенциала, формированию социального капитала и условиям управления как взаимосвязанным механизмам, определяющим эффективность деятельности НПО. Полученные выводы свидетельствуют о том, что деятельность НПО является наиболее эффективной в тех случаях, когда партисипативные стратегии сочетаются с устойчивым развитием потенциала и встроены в поддерживающие институциональные и политические среды. В то же время подчёркивается контекстуально обусловленный характер эффективности НПО, которая систематически варьируется в зависимости от режимов управления и социально-экономических условий. Предлагая многомерную и контекстно-чувствительную аналитическую рамку, статья вносит вклад в научную литературу, уточняя, при каких

условиях и посредством каких механизмов инициативы НПО способствуют устойчивому развитию сообществ, а также формулируя практически значимые выводы для правительств, доноров и организаций гражданского общества.

**Ключевые слова:** *партисипативное управление; среда управления; формирование социального капитала; институциональный контекст; эффективность гражданского общества*